Fire Regime Condition Class (FRCC) Interagency Handbook **Reference Conditions**

Modeler: KellyAnn Gorman, Randy Swaty Date: 29 November PNVG Code: CBPN

2007

Potential Natural Vegetation Group: Conifer Bog, embedded in fire-resistant system

Geographic Area: Northern parts of Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin; also common in New York and New England in small bogs.

Description: Dense to open, low to medium-tall forests of needle-leaf evergreen and deciduous trees on peatland soils occurring as discontinuous pockets or stringers within upland vegetation communities having long-return-interval fire regimes, such as hardwood mixes. The canopy may be sparse and/or stunted, especially in acid (pH < 5.5) peatlands. Low hummocks and water-filled depressions may be present. Forested rich peatlands (pH > 5.5) occur in closed wet depressions, especially in small watersheds or catchment areas, and drains and toe slopes adjacent to streams; acid peatlands (pH < 5.5) occur in large, flat, poorly drained landscapes, especially peatlands on glacial lake plains, often forming adjacent to fen water tracks or forming in stagnant areas between heads of peatland streams and drains. Soils are very poorly drained, saturated throughout the growing season in normal years, and may be deep organic peat (acid and rich peatlands) or less than 12" of organic peat over sand (mineral soil bog).

The canopy is dominated by any combination of:

- black spruce (*Picea mariana*)
- larch or tamarack (Larix laricina)
- balsam fir (Abies balsamea)
- white cedar (Thuja occidentalis)

White pine (Pinus strobus) often occurs on drier hummocks, particularly in mineral soil bogs.

Broadleaf tree species may be present, especially:

- red maple (*Acer rubrum*)
- birch (Betula spp.)

quaking aspen (Populus tremuoides)

abundant

thrysiflora)

The surface layer is dominated by mosses:

- primarily Sphagnum
- brown mosses dominate pools

Additional indicators for rich peatland:

- speckled alder (Alnus incana)
- three-leaved Solomon's seal (Smilacina trifolia)

Additional indicators for acid peatland:

- bog rosemary (Andromeda glaucophylla)
- Indian pipe (*Monotropa uniflora*)

tussock cottongrass (Eriophorum vaginatum)

twinflower (Linnaea borealis)

tufted loosestrife (Lysimachia

Pleurozium schreberii may also be

Additional indicators for mineral soil bogs:

- snowberry (*Symphoricarpos* spp.)
- Labrador tea (Ledum aroenlandicum)
- raspberry (Rubus spp.)

- wintergreen (Gaultheria procumbens)
- alder (Alnus spp.)
- currant (*Ribes* spp.)

Mineral soil bogs may have a significant herb layer characterized by:

- bunchberry (Cornus canadensis)
- bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*)
- goldthread (Coptis?)
- lily-of-the-valley (*Maianthemum canadense*)
- sedge (Carex spp.)
- cinnamon fern (Osmunda cinnamomea)
- starflower (Tridentalis borealis)

Fire Regime Description: Fire Regime Group V. Conifer bogs generally occur as pockets in lowland areas surrounded by upland vegetation, and the fire regime is generally driven by the return interval of these upland vegetation types. Fires may occur following drought cycles and may be severe, but sites are typically very wet and fires are infrequent. Windthrow as a result of shallow rooting, including singe-tree and small and large patches, and changes in hydrology, such as flooding or draining as a result of the construction or destruction of beaver dams, are the important disturbances in this system. Although severe fire can occur in spruce bogs, it is not common, with a rotation ranging from 220 to over 1,000 years with a mean of 540 years. Severe, catastrophic fires may convert the community to an open bog, rich swamp, or poor fen. Windthrow as a result of shallow rooting, including singe-tree and small and large patches, and changes in hydrology, such as flooding or draining as a result of the construction or destruction of beaver dams, are the important disturbances in this system. Catastrophic windthrow may have occurred on a 400- to over 1,000-year rotation, with a median of 700 years. Light windthrow (small patches) occurred on a rotation of 40 to 380 years, with a median of 85 years.

Vegetation Type and Structure

Class*	Percent of	Description
	Landscape	
A: post replacement		Young stands of mixed tamarack, black spruce, cedar and
	10	balsam fir; a shrub layer including leatherleaf, small cranberry,
		and blueberry; may include read maple; less than 55 yrs old
B : mid-seral closed		Mature stands dominated by cedar with some black spruce,
	25	balsam fir, and tamarack; may include red maple; some shrub
		layer; > 75% canopy closure; 55-115 yrs old
E: late- seral closed		Old forests returning to a more mixed tree composition and
	65	more shrub layer as the cedar canopy breaks up; > 50% canopy
		closure; over 115+ yrs old
Total	100	

^{*}Formal codes for classes A-E are: AESP, BMSC, CMSO, DLSO, and ELSC, respectively.

Fire Frequency and Severity

	Fire Frequency	Probability	Percent,	Description
Fire Severity	(yrs)		All Fires	
Replacement Fire	770	0.0013	100	Whether a shrub layer is present or not, trees have crown ratios nearing 1.0 so low-, moderate-, and high-severity fires all spread through the canopy.
Non-Replacement Fire	None	0	0	Because most species have thin bark and rarely reproduce vegetatively, non-replacement fires are effectively non-existent.
All Fire Frequency*	770	0.0013	100	·

*All Fire Probability = sum of replacement fire and non-replacement fire probabilities. All Fire Fire Frequency = inverse of all fire probability (previous calculation).

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Cleland, D.T.; Crow, T.R.; Saunders, S.C.; Dickmann, D.I.; MacLean, A.L.; Jordan, J.K.; Watson, R.L.; and Sloan, A.L. 2004. Characterizing historical and modern fire regimes in Michigan (USA): a landscape ecosystem approach. Landscape Ecology 19(3): 311-325.

Frelich, Lee E. 2002. Forest dynamics and disturbance regimes Studies from temperate evergreen-deciduous forests. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press. 266 p.

Iverson, Louis R.; Prasad, Anantha M.; Hale, Betsy J.; and Sutherland, Elaine K. 1999. Atlas of current and potential future distributions of common trees of the eastern United States. General Technical Report NE-265. Radnor, PA: US DA Forest Service, Northeastern Research Station. 245 p.

Kuchler, A.W. 1964. Conifer Bog (*Larix-Picea-Thuja*). #94 *In:* Manual to accompany the map Potential Natural Vegetation of the United States. New York, NY: The American Geographical Society. 156 p.

Kudray, Greg. 2002. Field guide Hiawatha National Forest ecological classification system (September 30, 2002, DRAFT). Helena, MT: Ecological Inventory and Analysis, USA.

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. 2003. Field guide to the native plant communities of Minnesota: the Laurentian Mixed Forest province. St. Paul, MN: Ecological Land Classification Program, Minnesota County Biological Survey, and Natural Heritage and Nongame Research Program. 352 p.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online: May 26, 2004]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.

PERSONAL COMMUNICATION (if applicable):

Peer Review by Dave Cleland and Greg Nowacki, UFSF Northeast Region 9 Forest Ecologists, at Milwaukee, WI: 21 July, 2004.

VDDT File Documentation

Assumptions:

- Native American fire was considered but was not determined to be a significant factor.
- The disturbance called Optional 1 is a placeholder representing changes in hydrology; it did not receive a probability because it happens infrequently on the scale of individual bogs and because it would be an open pathway, sending stands out of the model rather than resetting succession to A.





